

LOCAL TRANSPORT FUND**Performance Report - Financial Year 2017–2018**

A Local Authority shall complete one form per quarter for each scheme for which Local Transport Fund has been awarded in 2017-18



Llywodraeth Cymru
Welsh Government

Local Authority		Monmouthshire CC
Scheme Name		Abergavenny-Llanfoist Bridge
Period Covered by Report (<i>quarter</i>)		Q3
Project Manager Details	Name	Christian Schmidt. For all queries please contact Stephen Baldwin
	Tel	01633 644713
	Email	stevebaldwin@monmouthshire.gov.uk

LTF Allocation 2017-18	£ 379,000
Funding Committed 2017-18 to date (<i>e.g. purchase order placed, contract let</i>)	£ 379,000
Estimated value* of works delivered on scheme in current FY: This should be value of works delivered in the current FY. Should include actual costs from months and assessed values in period	£237,783
Estimated value* delivered in period: This should be the assessed value delivered in the period of the report	£124,210
* 'Value' is the extent/proportion of the works delivered. During the period this can be based on a professional assessment, e.g. project valued at £50,000 is assessed at 75% gives a Value of £37,500	

Progress in the period covered by the report including key stages/milestones achieved

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Signature of the AIP by the TAA; ii. Issue of Environmental Statement Chapters to MCC; iii. Ongoing bridge design development (pedestrian comfort, buckling, u-frames, cow-gate etc.); iv. Public Exhibition Material Developed; v. Proposal to adopt a caisson construction at the pier and agreement with NRW; vi. Liaison with DCWW regarding access over the southern path; vii. Development of the Flood Risk Activity Permit; viii. Proposal for Bridge Assessment and Survey to avoid the need for Schedule Monument Consent and road closures; ix. Site meeting with MCC ecologists regarding tree removal and bat mitigation; x. Cat 2 Check Commenced; xi. Started Preparation of the SEW Framework Documentation; xii. Final account agree with GI Contractor; xiii. Procured pricing exercise to inform funding; xiv. Preparation of the Design and Access Statement; xv. Constructability review.

Activities planned for next quarter including key stages/milestones to be achieved

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. SEWSCAP Contract Documentation preparation and Issue; ii. CDM Compliance review; iii. Geotechnical Interpretative Report (GIR) and Geotechnical Design Report (GDR); iv. Issue of Flood Risk Activity Permit (FRAP); v. Completion of Scour Mitigation; vi. Geophysical survey of the existing Abergavenny Bridge; vii. Assessment, Check and Assessment Report on the Abergavenny Bridge; viii. Completion of detailed design and Category 2 check; ix. Signature of Design and Check Certificates; x. Public Exhibition Report; xi. Liaison with GGAT; xii. Planning Application Checked, approved and submitted.

Risk Issues (describe nature of risk, likelihood, severity, impact and mitigation measures)

<ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Programme – Now operating a short programme. ii. NRW Planning Objection - NRW will object to proposal as scheme exceeds the 5mm tolerable flood increase. Following sensitivity analysis it is not feasible for the proposal to ever comply with this limitation. WSP have adopted a risk based approach and has demonstrated how this flood increase can be accepted when viewed in context. iii. Local Stakeholder Engagement – The 14 No. properties currently affected have been consulted. Of those who attended the Public Exhibition the majority did not support the bridge proposal citing the increase to flood impact and reduced privacy as the main reasons. iv. Changes to design following planning feedback- Planning and detailed design running in parallel to meet programme. v. Crane routes – inadequate assessment results of existing bridge – further investigations may be required if crane cannot work from the south bank for the main bridge lift. vi. Feedback following Public Exhibition- following the public Exhibition held on 8th January it was apparent that a reasonable number of people raised concerns about the poor access beyond the extent of the proposed work. Accordingly MCC have discussed with WSP about including a path to the access gate north of the bridge structure. This would be a variation and would result in a cost increase and potentially extend the programme. vii. Lighting – Following the Public Exhibition the topic of lighting was discussed. The merit being in the dark months the bridge would be seldom used as the meadows are currently very dark. This could lead to the bridge being underused at night. MCC have discussed with WSP for the lighting proposals to be included in the planning application. This would have an increase to the costs and delay programme as the ES would have to be amended to assess the impact of lighting on the various chapters. 	<p>Overall scheme Risk Status (R/AG**):</p> <p>GREEN</p>
---	--

**** RAG (Red, Amber, Green) Status Key:**

Green	On track
Amber	Off track but progressing
Red	Serious problems to completion

To be completed by the Project Manager.

Date: 15 January 2018

Completed By: Christian Schmidt Job Title: Transport Planning & Policy Officer)

Signature: *Christian Schmidt*